

**Course Outline**

Fall/Winter 2021-2022 Y

Tuesdays, starting at 16:00 (4:00 PM), beginning September 14

ATK 004 Atkinson Hall

*This course is designated for in-person delivery. All or a significant portion of this course will meet in-person in the location assigned.*

**Instructor**

**Professor John Wilkins**

SSB N205M Seymour Schulich Building

(647) 965-3527 mobile

[wilkins@yorku.ca](mailto:wilkins@yorku.ca)

One-day response to e-mail queries

Phone/in-person meetings by appointment

Professor Wilkins is a teaching practitioner with the School of Public Policy and Administration. He was an international diplomat based in London (United Kingdom) and a career senior public servant in Canada. In 2009, he was awarded the Lieutenant-Governor’s Medal for Excellence in Public Administration.

**Course Description**

An applied analysis of specific areas of public policy chosen to reflect current public debates. The primary focus is on Canada, but comparisons with other countries are made where useful to understanding the policy process in Canada. Students apply their knowledge of policy analysis in the context of case studies and/or real-world projects with community partners.

Prerequisites: 78 credits, including AP/PPAS 3190 6.00 or AP/POLS 3190 6.00 or, for students with equivalent preparation, permission of the Undergraduate Program Director.

Course credit exclusions: AP/PPAS 4300 6.00, AP/POLS 4300 6.00, GL/POLS 4300 6.00.

**Contents**

[Course Learning Outcomes..... 1](#)  
[Deliverables at a Glance..... 4](#)  
[Course Material..... 4](#)  
[Class Preparation and Participation..... 5](#)  
[Written Assignments, Projects, and Exams..... 5](#)  
[Course Grades..... 13](#)  
[General Academic Policies..... 14](#)  
[Class-by-Class Syllabus..... 15](#)

**Course Learning Outcomes**

This course is designed to impart practical knowledge and insights about how public institutions and their leaders engage in government policy making and issues management. The aim is to expose students to the theory and practice of public policy analysis. The course borrows from Canadian and international contexts to explore contemporary public policy debates.

Over the course, students will acquire the conceptual and technical fundamentals that a policy analyst must possess. You will engage in theoretical reflections and proceed to in-depth analysis of specific public policy issues, primarily in Canadian federal and provincial orders of government. The course covers important elements of policy analysis, including structuring problems, collecting data, setting criteria, assessing options, making choices, implementing changes, and evaluating outcomes.

**Course Organization.** The course is organized in four parts, as scheduled in the Class-by-Class Syllabus (pages 16-17):

- I. *Purpose*. The first part explains the WHY and WHO of public policy analysis. It frames policy concepts, context, and community as prerequisites to understanding good practice. Students learn about the relationship between theory and practice in public policy making.
- II. *Process*. The second part explains the HOW TO of public policy analysis. It outlines the policy planning, consultation, analysis, design, implementation, risk, and evaluation steps in a rational problem-solving and decision-making process. Students examine comparative management strategies, systems, and practices deployed in public policy development.
- III. *Product*. The third part explains the WHAT of public policy analysis. It explores the role and function of policy advice, communication, and capacity in achieving public outcomes. Students assess the use, content, and effectiveness of different instruments for communicating public policy.
- IV. *Presentation*. The fourth part explains the WHERE and WHEN of public policy analysis. It features group projects in which client organizations are consulted on priority policy issues. Students hone strategic teamwork, communication, and presentation techniques in policy advice to clients.

**Learning Objectives.** Upon completion of the course, students should be able to:

- Discern normative theory and good practice in applied public policy analysis;
- Describe how public servants manage the policy development process for best results;
- Diagnose critical public policy issues utilizing proven analytical frameworks and methods;
- Differentiate and critique comparative strategies and methods used in public policy analysis;
- Develop creative, realistic, compelling options that resolve public policy priorities;
- Design policy that responds with political acuity and sensitivity to the setting and context;
- Detect international trends, benchmarks, and good practices in public policy analysis;
- Demonstrate advanced research, writing, and presentation skills in advice to policy makers;
- Determine key expectations, risks, and results in experiential learning projects; and
- Display ethical and professional conduct in client, stakeholder, and class relations.

By the end of the course, students will better appreciate the challenges that governments face in making complex, dynamic policy in the new millennium. Mastering theoretical knowledge, ethical considerations, research and analytical skills, and the policy cycle itself comes with practice and experience. This course prepares you to enter the workforce as a policy analyst or to undertake postgraduate studies in a policy-related field.

**Learning Approach.** The course is grounded in experiential learning: “Experiential Education (EE) can be defined as a pedagogical approach that affords students the opportunity to apply theory to a concrete experience in a manner that advances the learning objectives of a course or program. These learning experiences can occur either within a classroom or within the community and serve as a means by which students can reflect upon their learning, and potentially acquire a deeper understanding of their subject matter.” (Experiential Education Working Group. 2013. *A Case for Change. Experiential Education Integration at York University*. Toronto: York University, 1.)

EE enables students to assume practical roles in policy analysis within the course framework. You will work closely with an organization that is dealing with real policy issues to prepare analyses and recommend new or modified policy. Learning the theoretical foundations of policy analysis is a precursor to practical components of the course. Applying theoretical concepts to casework and adding the experience to your résumé are just two of the benefits.

The course is designed to facilitate learning via various formats—assigned readings, posted materials, recorded lectures and briefings, class discussions and exercises, individual and group work on assignments. The schedule may be adapted over the year to answer student needs or accommodate changing EE demands. You will be informed in advance of any rescheduling.

For more details on how EE is approached in this course, please refer to the Client Project under Written Assignments, Projects, and Exams (pages 8-13).

**Learning Format.** Classes are delivered in-person, and remotely as necessary, along with online support, as outlined below.

TIME	COMPONENT	LEARNING ACTIVITY
Before Class	Preliminaries	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Instructor recording of lecture, briefing, debriefing, and/or story</li> <li>Instructor posting of meeting agenda and learning materials</li> <li>Student reading and preparation</li> </ul>
16:00	Opening	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Class gathering, welcome, and story</li> <li>Meeting objective and agenda</li> <li>Announcements</li> </ul>
16:10	Action Learning (as applicable)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Assignment orientation, briefing, workshop, exercise, presentation, and/or debriefing</li> <li>Plenary/breakout discussion and Q&amp;A</li> </ul>
17:00	Thematic Topic (except workshops and presentations)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Lecture, readings, and/or current events</li> <li>Plenary discussion and Q&amp;A</li> <li>Next class and closing</li> </ul>
After Class	Follow-up	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Student individual study, group work, and discussion forum</li> <li>Instructor response to requests for coaching and advice</li> </ul>

Classes, whether in-person or remote, are scheduled according to the Class-By-Class Syllabus (pages 16-17). Students who attend classes discuss questions about assignments, exercises, lectures, readings, and stories in plenary and/or breakout. Classes last up to three hours, including time for group work and coaching.

Pre-recorded lectures, briefings, debriefings, and stories can be accessed anytime, anywhere via the course eClass. They are delivered in shorter segments to facilitate learning focus. Some action learning also benefits from student engagement offline from in-person classes.

Please review the entire Course Outline to familiarize yourself with how the class meets, in whole or in part, and how office hours, presentations, and other interactions are conducted.

### Deliverables at a Glance

Students are expected to complete assignments according to schedule and requirements. The impact of each assignment on your final course grade is indicated in the table below. For details, please refer to Written Assignments, Projects, and Exams (pages 5-13).

Assignment	Quantity	% Weight	Total %	Responsibility
<b>Current Issue:</b>			<b>30</b>	
• Presentation	1	10		Individual
• Briefing Note	1	20		Individual
<b>Client Project:</b>			<b>70</b>	
• Terms of Reference	1	10		Group
• Presentation	1	30		Group
➔ Scorecard (10)				Group
➔ Client Appraisal (10)				Group
➔ Team Appraisal (10)				Individual
• Report	1	30		Group
			<b>100%</b>	

### Course Material

The required textbook for this course is:

**Pal, Leslie, Graeme Auld & Alexandra Mallett. 2020. *Beyond Policy Analysis: Public Issue Management in Turbulent Times*. 6<sup>th</sup> edition. Toronto: Nelson College Indigenous. 480 pages. ISBN-10: 0176886915, ISBN-13: 978-0176886912.**

Pal, Auld & Mallett (2020) is the new, partnered successor to Pal's (2014) acclaimed Canadian textbook on the theory and practice of public policy analysis: "With an authoritative and courageous approach, *Beyond Policy Analysis* examines public policymaking in Canada at all areas of governance, including Health Care, Education, Economic Development and Trade. This title goes beyond conventional categories and concepts to examine how the world of policymaking has changed with the increasing pressures of globalization, information technology, changing public values and cultural assumptions, citizen distrust, and decentralization and subsidiarity. The sixth edition investigates ever-evolving governance, and proactively discusses contemporary Canadian issues."

The book is also conveniently linked to the Atlas of Public Management, which offers excerpts and summary information online. Pal (2014) is cross-linked to various parts of the Atlas, creating a portal that multiplies learning benefits to students. Please check out [Beyond Policy Analysis – Book Highlights – Atlas of Public Management](#).

**Required readings** in Pal et al. (2020) are referenced by chapter in the Class-by-Class Syllabus (pages 15-16). The text can be purchased online for free delivery in Canada or for online access to the fully digital e-book through the **Bookstore** [Welcome | York University Bookstore](#).

**Other required readings** are available on the York University eClass course web page [Course: AP/PPAS4200 A - Applied Public Policy Analysis \(Full Year 2021-2022\)](#). Please arrange for access, and check the site between classes. All course readings, materials, and announcements are posted on this site. Assignments, exercises, research, and informed class debate draw upon current publications and reliable Internet sites for pertinent opinion and evidence from domestic and international sources.

**Supplementary references** may be suggested by the Instructor from time to time. Students may also request the Instructor to identify readings on specific subject matter of special interest.

## Class Preparation and Participation

The course format and interactive style require students to practice self-directed learning.

**Preparation.** Students are expected to do advance readings and be well prepared to engage. Each student is assumed to bring perspectives, knowledge, and experience that are of value to exploring course topics. While all course materials are posted on eClass, you may wish to keep notes to reinforce your learning. On average, you should expect 3-6 hours per class for pre-reading and assignments.

**Participation.** Students are expected to multi-task across diverse streams of thought (theory) and activity (practice). The course works best when students attend classes in their entirety, listen actively, volunteer questions, and engage in plenary/group discussions. Everyone is encouraged to contribute and communicate respectfully in a secure environment, consistent with the [Code of Student Rights & Responsibilities | Office of Student Community Relations | York University](#) and General Academic Policies (pages 14-15). A complete list of policies can be found at [Secretariat Policies](#).

**Instruction.** The Instructor serves as an expert resource by facilitating class discussions, clarifying topical issues, sharing experiences, and guiding the learning process. The Instructor is committed to: (1) creating an open, stimulating environment for exchanging ideas and questioning assumptions; (2) being available for questions and advice; and (3) being prompt, prepared, and respectful of all points of view. Respect does not imply accepting uncritically every argument. Policy analysis is about using evidence and logic to support critical thinking.

**Technology.** The platforms used in this course enable students to interact with the course material, Instructor, and one another. As circumstances warrant, in-person classes may be blended with remote delivery. The Instructor may need to instigate video conferencing that allows students to participate in virtual classes. Student access to a stable, higher-speed Internet connection, plus a computer or smart device with webcam and microphone, may be required.

Course web page: [Course: AP/PPAS4200 A - Applied Public Policy Analysis \(Full Year 2021-2022\)](#).

Course videoconferencing: [Zoom at YorkU](#).

Here are some useful links for student computing information, resources, and help:

[Student Guide to Moodle](#)

[Zoom@YorkU Best Practices](#)

[Zoom@YorkU User Reference Guide](#)

[Computing for Students Website](#)

[Student Guide to eLearning at York University](#).

## Written Assignments, Projects, and Exams

The two assignments identified under Deliverables at a Glance (page 4) are described in detail below. All supporting materials needed to complete these assignments are posted on eClass.

The costs and consequences of presenting late advice or inferior work are especially high for public policy. Increased expenditures and lost revenues go directly to fiscal deficits. They reduce spending power, discount public value, and undermine confidence in government. The multiplier effects on public policy outcomes impact people and change lives.

**Policies and Penalties.** Experiential learning calls for students to embrace high standards of quality, cost-effectiveness, and timeliness in their work. Policies and penalties on late papers and on time, page, and word count violations are intended to reinforce the guidance provided and to promote positive learning outcomes. The following implications will be administered across all assignments:

- Assignments must be received by the Instructor on or before the due date specified;
- Extensions must be pre-authorized for valid reasons (e.g., illness) under University policy;
- Late assignments are only accepted when supported by proper documentation (e.g., medical);
- Further extensions or accommodation require students to formally petition the Faculty;
- Late or missing assignments otherwise receive a 'nil' mark (e.g., 0/10);
- Feedback on student rework of graded assignments may be requested to facilitate learning;
- Rework of graded assignments is not accepted and reviewed for course credit;
- Page and word count limits are inclusive of all content in the assignment, excepting the Bibliography;
- Excess time counts above the maximum length specified are penalized by the assignment's ratio of time to marks (e.g., -1:1 = 1 mark deducted for every minute in excess);
- Excess page counts above the maximum length specified are penalized by not reading and grading papers beyond the page limit;
- Excess word counts above the maximum length specified are penalized by the assignment's ratio of words to marks (e.g., -1:200 = 1 mark deducted for every 200 words in excess); and
- The Instructor's computer or device is the authoritative source of all time, page, and word counts.

### ***CURRENT ISSUE (30%)***

The Current Issue is the foundational component of the course. The purpose is to give students practice in policy analysis and advice. In the role of advisor, students will conduct desk-based research, give an in-class Presentation, and draft a Briefing Note on an existing or emerging policy issue of interest. The product is intended to inform senior management decision making on an important public policy matter. Visualizing the prospective target audience helps motivate and focus analysis and advice.

#### **CURRENT ISSUE: PRESENTATION**

*Parameters:* 10-minute live presentation maximum length, plus Q&A discussion; PowerPoint (or equivalent) document, plus PDF version; letter-size, landscape page  
*Due Date:* October 26, November 2/9/16/23 *Value:* 10% *Time Count Penalty:* -1:1

The Presentation is the first component of the Current Issue assignment. The purpose is for students to research a policy issue in depth, analyze comparative policy development approaches, and share advice with the class. The Presentation is intended to facilitate class Q&A discussion, with a view to informing refinement of the Briefing Note.

***Preparation.*** The Instructor will orient students to the Current Issue Guidance in Class 1. You are asked to choose a policy issue to explore and to schedule a Presentation slot by Class 2. The Instructor will brief and coach students on tips for assembling a creative, compelling, convincing Presentation. Individual study or work may be facilitated via student-managed discussion forums to examine Presentation format and requirements.

***Presentation.*** Students are asked to prepare a Presentation that offers government evidence-based policy advice. The task is to dovetail Presentation preparations with Briefing Note research, analysis, and reporting. Your work is presented live utilizing the audio-visual format of choice—slides, pictures, videos, cartoons, posters, hand-outs. Please use your imagination, be creative, but keep it professional. As a guideline, it is suggested that presentations have no more than 10 core slides, or about one slide per minute. Additional slides may be used during subsequent Q&A discussion with the class. You should quality check final work against assignment parameters and requirements. Ideally, your Presentation will generate questions, inform discussion, and advance thinking.

**Delivery.** In Class 1, students are asked to schedule their Presentation in one of up to 25 slots available during Classes 6-10. All presentations will be scheduled for delivery during regular classes at the times specified. Scheduling changes must be by prior written agreement with the Instructor and any affected students. Please e-mail the Instructor an advance copy as an attachment prior to scheduled in-class delivery. The Instructor will acknowledge receipt.

**Evaluation.** Presentations will be assessed using five criteria, each weighted equally out of 10 marks:

1. Innovative (2) – researches and fills knowledge gaps, reframes issues using creative perspectives, embraces new approaches to public policy analysis;
2. Relevant (2) – links clearly to topical themes and current issues, builds upon challenges in advancing leading thought, promotes elevated baselines of good practice;
3. Scholarly (2) – observes rigour in critical analysis (theory, method), follows rational evidence-based process, paves the way for action research;
4. Interdisciplinary (2) – tackles complex cross-cutting issues head-on, integrates good governance principles, adopts a big-picture whole-of-government view; and
5. Responsive (2) – observes time parameters, uses creative audio-visual communications, engages the class constructively in active discussion.

### **CURRENT ISSUE: BRIEFING NOTE**

*Parameters:* 2 pages all-inclusive maximum length, plus bibliography; Word (or equivalent) document, plus PDF version; letter-size, portrait page; single-spaced, 12-point font, 1-inch margins; heading/paragraph format

*Due Date:* November 2/9/16/23/30 *Value:* 20% *Page Count Penalty:* 0 > 2 pages

The Briefing Note is the second component of the Current Issue assignment. The purpose is for students to research a policy issue in depth, analyze comparative policy development approaches, and present advice to the area of government responsible. The Briefing Note is intended to facilitate senior management problem solving and decision making.

**Issue.** Students are asked to select a real, contemporary public policy issue found in a Canadian (federal, provincial, municipal, Indigenous) or foreign (country, international) jurisdiction. The task is to research policy issues and define a significant, urgent, or growing problem to address. The Instructor will orient students to the Briefing Note Outline in Class 1. You are asked to choose a policy issue to explore by Class 2. There will be regular briefings and interrogation of the Outline through Class 8. Individual study or work may be facilitated via student-managed discussion forums to examine common requirements relative to policy jurisdiction, topic, and process.

**Analysis.** Students are asked to identify gaps in institutional performance and/or policy outcomes. The task is to analyze the central issue and assess comparative policy development strategies. The Instructor will discuss analytical frameworks, policy options, and tricks of the trade through Class 8. Coaching to help pinpoint and assess the central issue is available on request.

**Deliverable.** Students are asked to document the outcome of their research and analysis in a Briefing Note. The task is to make specific, actionable recommendations and present strategic advice in paragraph format according to the section headings of the Briefing Note Outline. Students should quality check their final work against assignment parameters and requirements. Please e-mail the Briefing Note as an attachment to the Instructor within one week after your scheduled Presentation. The Instructor will acknowledge receipt, return graded feedback, and debrief overall results in Class 12.

**Evaluation.** Briefing Notes will be assessed using five criteria:

1. Presentation of sound, clear, and coherent argumentation;
2. Demonstrated understanding of the issues under consideration;
3. Depth, breadth, and quality of analysis;
4. Originality of approach, arguments, observations, and insights; and
5. Professional presentation – length, format, visuals, grammar, spelling, in-text citations.

Weightings for each section of the Briefing Note follow a proforma outline:

SECTION	PAGES	MARKS
Title [Issue: Institution, Jurisdiction]	0.1	0
Executive Summary	0.3	3
Issue	0.3	3
Background	0.2	2
Current Status	0.2	2
Options	0.3	3
Recommendation	0.3	3
Implications	0.3	3
Bibliography	∞	1
<b>Total</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>20</b>

### **CLIENT PROJECT (70%)**

The Client Project is the EE developmental component of the course. The purpose is to apply theory, practice analysis, and test capabilities. Students conduct policy analysis on a real issue in a real setting using leading methods to advise a real client. The objective is to learn how governments consult on, analyze, develop, communicate, implement, manage, and evaluate policy.

The centrality of the EE project in the course calls for unconventional approaches. First, students should see the classroom as the boardroom of a consultancy. You will meet weekly with peers to discuss policy analysis, learn from case findings, and share ideas. The Instructor acts as the managing partner/senior consultant who oversees project engagement and guides new policy analysts through the process. Second, being consultants gives students more control over how they spend their time. You need to be self-aware of taking greater initiative outside the classroom. It is important to learn how to balance increased freedom with professional discipline. Third, students are required to get and stay involved. You must be available and intellectually committed to the client's project. Being a consultant is demanding but very rewarding, especially when the client adopts your recommendations.

The Instructor will introduce students to the general parameters of the Client Project in Class 1. The official project launch takes place in Class 5 when students form groups around client organization projects. The team-building process depends on the number of students enrolled, the range of client partners recruited, and the relative complexity and size of projects. The Instructor will facilitate the matching process with reference to student interests, comparative competencies, and team chemistry. Students who do not settle in a group by Class 10 will be disqualified from participating in the project and will receive a 'nil' mark.

The Instructor will oversee the full student project experience. This means responding to requests for coaching groups and students on what to do, how to do it, and what it takes to deliver outcomes that satisfy client expectations. You are expected to determine the W5—who, what when, where, why—necessary to plan the how-to steps and produce project results.



Project management is critical to successful Client Project outcomes. Becoming a team means deciding on leadership, roles, and protocols for scheduling, meetings, changes, and communications. Each group should name a single liaison member as the point of contact and coordination with the client. All communications channelled through the liaison should copy group members and the Instructor.

### **CLIENT PROJECT: TERMS OF REFERENCE**

*Parameters:* 2,000 words all-inclusive maximum length, plus bibliography; Word (or equivalent) document, plus PDF version; letter-size, portrait page; single-spaced, 12-point font, 1-inch margins; heading/paragraph format

*Due Date:* December 7

*Value:* 10%

*Word Count Penalty:* -1:200

The Terms of Reference are the first component of the Client Project. The purpose is for student groups acting as consultants to research and draft a proposal to guide project work. The product is intended to facilitate project decision making on how to go about remedying an important client policy issue. Identifying the prospective policy maker helps motivate and focus research and analysis.

**Client.** Groups are asked to choose an existing public policy issue from among the selection of client projects on offer in Canadian and international jurisdictions. The task is to research the history, role, and performance of the client organization. The Instructor will orient students to the Terms of Reference Outline, Public Institution Research Checklist, and project management in workshop in Class 5. There will be regular briefings, interrogation of the Outline, and coaching through Class 12. Group work is facilitated throughout the project via student-managed discussion forums and networking.

**Assessment.** Groups are asked to identify gaps in fulfilling the client's policy mandate. The task is to make a preliminary assessment of institutional performance and results. Opposite Classes 6-9, groups will schedule and convene client consultations to help map project issues, expectations, and protocols. Pre-meeting research will accelerate group assimilation of the policy principles, criteria, and issues at stake. Coaching to help pinpoint and assess institutional policy issues is available on request.

**Proposal.** Groups are asked to document the outcome of client consultations in the Terms of Reference. The task is to frame the project strategy and action plan in paragraph format according to the section headings in the Terms of Reference Outline. Groups should quality check their final work against client expectations and assignment parameters. Please e-mail the Terms of Reference as an attachment to the Instructor by the Class 12 due date. The Instructor will acknowledge receipt, return graded feedback, and debrief overall results in Class 13.

**Evaluation.** Terms of Reference will be assessed using five criteria:

1. Presentation of sound, clear, and coherent information;
2. Demonstrated understanding of the issues under consideration;
3. Depth, breadth, and quality of preliminary analysis;
4. Originality of approach, observations, and insights; and
5. Professional presentation – length, format, visuals, grammar, spelling, in-text citations.

Weightings for each section of the Terms of Reference follow a proforma outline:

SECTION	WORDS	MARKS
Title [Issue: Institution, Jurisdiction]	10	0
Proposal to Client	190	1
Institutional Context	200	1
Problem Definition	200	1
Project Objective	200	1
Methodology and Scope	200	1
Literature Review	200	1
Preliminary Assessment	300	1.5
Project Management	500	2
Bibliography	∞	0.5
<b>Total</b>	<b>2,000</b>	<b>10</b>

### **CLIENT PROJECT: PRESENTATION – SCORECARD**

*Parameters:* 20-minute live and/or recorded presentation maximum length, plus Q&A discussion maximum length at client's discretion; PowerPoint (or equivalent) document, plus PDF version; letter-size, landscape page

*Due Date:* February 15, March 1/8/15/22 *Value:* 10% *Time Count Penalty:* -1:2

The Presentation is the second component of the Client Project. The purpose is for student groups acting as consultants to research the policy issue in depth, analyze comparative policy development approaches, and present advice to the client. The product is intended to facilitate client engagement during the Presentation and inform refinement of the Report.

**Preparation.** Groups are asked to initiate project work by signing off the Terms of Reference with the client. The task is to take on board feedback from the Instructor's debriefing in Class 13 and to confirm and follow up client priorities. The approved Terms of Reference act as a road map for addressing a significant, urgent, or growing policy issue.

The Instructor will orient students to the Client Project Presentation Guidance and Scorecard in workshop in Class 13. There will be regular briefings, interrogation of the Scorecard, and coaching through Class 17. The Instructor will help students interpret the practical project implications of the theoretical topics covered during the first term.

**Presentation.** Groups are asked to prepare a Presentation that gives clients evidence-based policy advice. The task is to dovetail Presentation preparations with Report research, analysis, and writing. The Instructor will brief and coach groups on strategies for assembling a creative, compelling, convincing Presentation. Briefings will feature the important role of narrative, design, staging, teamwork, and Q&A, among others. Practice presentations may be workshopped in Class 17 onward.

Groups may present their work live and/or recorded utilizing the audio-visual format of choice—slides, pictures, videos, cartoons, posters, hand-outs. Please use your imagination, be creative, but keep it professional. As a guideline, it is suggested that presentations have up to 20 core slides, or about one slide per minute. Additional slides may be used during Q&A. Groups should quality check their final work against assignment parameters and requirements. Ideally, your Presentation will generate questions, inform discussion, and advise the client effectively.

**Delivery.** Following Class 13, groups are asked to coordinate with clients to schedule presentations in Classes 18-22. All presentations will be delivered during regular classes, unless groups reschedule by prior written agreement with the client and Instructor. Please e-mail the Instructor an advance copy as an attachment prior to scheduled in-class delivery. The Instructor will acknowledge receipt.

Presentations give groups the chance to share their policy proposals with clients, classmates, and special guests. Representatives of the client organization are the primary audience. The client may also invite key stakeholders to attend. In addition, the schedule of presentations will be open to the SPPA community, including faculty, alumni, and students.

**Evaluation.** The Instructor will administer a Scorecard template to assess group presentations. The rubric reflects criteria, attributes, and weightings derived from the CAPPA/IPAC National Public Administration Case Competition. The Instructor will moderate the sessions and be the principal scorekeeper. Guests and students not presenting are invited to complete and submit Scorecards in confidence. The Instructor will compile and return graded feedback to groups the week following their Presentation. The mark is shared by all group members. Class results and the learning experience will be debriefed in workshop in Class 23.

Weightings for each section of the Scorecard follow a proforma outline:

SECTION	MINUTES	MARKS
Situation Appraisal	8	2
Options Analysis	12	3
Preparation and Delivery	-	2
Questions and Answers	-	3
<b>Total</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>10</b>

#### **CLIENT PROJECT: PRESENTATION – CLIENT APPRAISAL**

*Parameters:* 1-page format, plus unlimited comments

*Due Date:* March 1/8/15/22/29    *Value:* 10%    *Default:* recourse to Scorecards

The Client Appraisal is the third component of the Client Project. The purpose is to report client satisfaction with the student group's Presentation. Client representatives are asked to appraise group performance against expectations and standards articulated in the Terms of Reference. The client may wish to keep track of incidents and variances during the project. The form captures feedback that is intended to facilitate deeper learning about project outcomes and process.

**Submission.** The Client Appraisal will be conducted during and/or following post-Presentation debriefings in Classes 18-22. Client representatives will e-mail forms as an attachment in confidence to the Instructor by the following Monday. The Instructor will acknowledge receipt, calculate results, and advise group members of their score by the next class. The client is encouraged to communicate important feedback directly to the group during the session and/or separately afterwards.

**Evaluation.** Client Appraisals will be assessed using five criteria:

1. Outcome – quality and relevance of advice, potential impact, risk exposure;
2. Value for Money – cost-savings, revenue generation, unexpected cost-benefits;
3. Timeliness – responsive to requests and changes, timely action, timely results;
4. Innovation – creative options, transferable ideas, cascading reforms; and
5. Professionalism – competent, courteous and fair, respectful working relationship.

The client may add and/or weight criteria according to project priorities. The client can complete a unified assessment or report client representative appraisals separately, with the average of scores submitted determining the group's mark. The mark is shared by all group members. If the client does not submit a form, the Client Appraisal mark will be based on Scorecard results.

**CLIENT PROJECT: PRESENTATION – TEAM APPRAISAL***Parameters:* 1-page format, plus unlimited comments*Due Date:* March 1/8/15/22/29*Value:* 10%*Penalty:* no appraisal = 0

The Team Appraisal is the fourth component of the Client Project. The purpose is to assess the personal contribution of each student to teamwork. Groups are asked to take stock of factors contributing most to their Presentation performance and client satisfaction to date. You may wish to record what you have done, learned, and contributed to the group in becoming a team. You may also want to write a short statement about the quality of work contributed by each teammate.

**Submission.** The Team Appraisal will be conducted during and/or following post-Presentation debriefings in Classes 18-22. Students must e-mail forms as an attachment in confidence to the Instructor by the following Monday. The Instructor will acknowledge receipt, calculate results, and advise group members of their score by the next class. Students are encouraged to share important feedback directly with other group members.

**Evaluation.** Team Appraisals will be assessed using a template that provides for optional comments. Each group member will assess the contribution, performance, and engagement of all members of the group, including themselves. You will assign each a score, with the average of scores submitted determining each group member's mark. Students failing to submit an appraisal before the next class following their Presentation will forfeit their own allocation of marks. Their omission will not affect teammates' scores.

**CLIENT PROJECT: REPORT***Parameters:* 20 pages all-inclusive maximum length, plus bibliography; Word (or equivalent) document, plus PDF version; letter-size, portrait page; single-spaced, 12-point font, 1-inch margins; heading/paragraph format*Due Date:* April 5*Value:* 30%*Page Count Penalty:* 0 > 20 pages

The Report is the fifth and final component of the Client Project. The purpose is for student groups acting as consultants to submit a Report that satisfies client needs and good policy practice. The refined product is intended to facilitate client decision making with advice on an important policy matter.

**Preparation.** Groups are asked to finalize the Report based upon Scorecard feedback, debriefing, and appraisals. The task is to respond to client expectations in the Terms of Reference, reflect rigorous policy analysis, and model realistic, evidence-based advice. The Presentation acts as a sounding board for checking ideas, arguments, and deliverables with the client before incorporating them in the Report.

The Instructor will orient students to the Client Project Report Outline in workshop in Class 13. In sync with the schedule for Presentation preparations, there will be regular briefings, interrogation, and coaching of the Outline through Class 23. The Instructor will offer final guidance on Report writing in workshop in Class 23, following debriefing of group presentations.

**Report.** Groups are asked to prepare a Report that gives clients evidence-based policy advice. The task is to dovetail Report preparations with Presentation research, analysis, and delivery. The Report touches on all aspects of policy analysis, from constructing a policy problem to communicating recommendations and their underlying rationale. It reflects a structured project approach that embraces concepts and skills learned "on the job". It refines problem definition, analyzes alternatives, formulates recommendations, plots implementation, and frames evaluation.

Please make creative use of illustrations, figures, tables, and graphics, but keep them professional. You should quality check your final work against assignment parameters and requirements. Please e-mail your group Report as an attachment to the Instructor by the Class 24 due date. The Instructor will acknowledge receipt and return graded feedback within a week. The mark is shared by all group members. Groups will revise and submit a final Report to the client before the end of term. Ideally, your Report will be of high quality, befitting the finished product of a consulting engagement, and will stimulate client interest and action.

**Evaluation.** Reports will be assessed using five criteria:

1. Presentation of sound, clear, and coherent argumentation;
2. Demonstrated understanding of the issues under consideration;
3. Depth, breadth, and quality of analysis;
4. Originality of approach, arguments, observations, and insights; and
5. Professional presentation – length, format, visuals, grammar, spelling, in-text citations.

Grading will consider three factors in relation to these criteria: (1) overall quality (i.e., absolute scale); (2) relative quality compared to other groups; and (3) improvement over the course of the project. Grades reflect your group's knowledge of the topic, analytical proficiency, and ability to organize information and advice in the Report.

Weightings for each section of the Report follow a proforma outline:

SECTION	PAGES	MARKS
Title [Issue: Institution, Jurisdiction]	1	0
Table of Contents	0.5	0
Executive Summary	0.5	3
Introduction	5	5
Findings	8	12
Conclusion	5	8
Bibliography	∞	2
<b>Total</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>30</b>

### Course Grades

Course grades conform to the 9-point system used in undergraduate programs at York University.

Percentage Mark	Letter Grade	Grade Point
90 – 100%	A+	9
80 – 89%	A	8
75 – 79%	B+	7
70 – 74%	B	6
65 – 69%	C+	5
60 – 64%	C	4
55 – 59%	D+	3
50 – 54%	D	2
40 – 49%	E	1
0 – 39%	F	0

## General Academic Policies

**Academic Integrity.** Honesty is fundamental to the integrity of university education and degree programs. It applies in every course offered. Cheating and plagiarism are serious academic offences that can result in severe sanctions. Quoting material without citing its source or using others' arguments without acknowledging authorship is dishonest and subject to penalties that can affect your grade and university standing. Rules regarding academic honesty apply to all academic materials submitted for credit in this course. Students are deemed to have read and have full knowledge of all such regulations and enforcement mechanisms. Please consult York's policy at [Academic Honesty, Senate Policy on | Secretariat Policies](#). The University may verify the origin and creativity of all work submitted for academic credit, and all appropriate steps may be taken where necessary if problems are found to exist. For more resources, students should visit York University's Academic Integrity website and refer specifically to [SPARK | YorkU](#).

**Accommodation of Religious Observances.** York University is committed to respecting the religious beliefs and practices of all members of the community and to accommodating observances of special significance to adherents. Should any due dates specified in the syllabus for an in-class presentation or assignment pose a conflict, please let the Instructor know in writing within the first three weeks of classes. More information is available at [Academic Accommodation for Students' Religious Observances \(Policy, Guidelines and Procedures\) | Secretariat Policies](#).

**Accommodation of Students with Disabilities.** The York University Senate has adopted policy on [Academic Accommodation for Students with Disabilities | Secretariat Policies](#). Students who require accommodation in a course need to be registered with Student Accessibility Services. A Letter of Accommodation should be provided to the Instructor as soon after the start of the course as possible. More information is available at [Student Accessibility Services | Student Accessibility Services | York University](#).

**Attendance Policy.** Students are expected to attend all classes. Instructors are under no obligation to re-teach material that has already been taught during a regularly scheduled class. Students seeking adjustments to scheduled class activities and evaluations by way of medical, religious, compassionate, work-related, military service, or other *bona fide* reasons must give appropriate notice to responsible University officials and the Instructor if reasonable accommodations are sought. Students failing to obtain signed deferral from the Instructor for a *bona fide* reason according to law and University policy may receive a failing grade for given grade components, and consequently in the course. Please refer to the appropriate regulations, deadlines, processes, and forms at [Secretariat Policies](#).

**Research Ethics.** Students are subject to the *Policy for the Ethics Review Process for Research Involving Human Participants*. According to [Human Participants - Research & Innovation](#), all research involving human participants for graduate and undergraduate courses, undergraduate theses, independent projects, and graduate major research papers (MRPs) that are non-funded and minimal risk must be reviewed by the relevant unit-level Delegated Ethics Review Committee. Research subject to review includes, but is not limited to, surveys, questionnaires, interviews, participant observation, and secondary data analysis.

For the purposes of research ethics review, “minimal risk” is defined in [Introducing TCPS 2 \(2018\) – Tri-Council Policy Statement: Ethical Conduct for Research Involving Humans](#) as research in which the probability and magnitude of possible harms implied by participation in the research is no greater than those encountered by participants in those aspects of their everyday life that relate to the research. Please note that research conducted by students that is more than minimal risk and/or involves Indigenous peoples or clinical trials must be reviewed by the Human Participants Review Committee (HPRC). For these types of research, students are required to complete the HPRC protocol form. Please contact the Office of Research Ethics (ORE) at [ore@yorku.ca](mailto:ore@yorku.ca) for further information.

For more information on ethics review requirements for graduate and undergraduate course-related research and MRPs, please go to [Ethics-Review-Requirements-Course-Related-Research-8.15.17-1-1.pdf](#). Please consult your Instructor if you are in doubt as to whether these requirements apply to you.

### **Class-by-Class Syllabus**

Topics, readings, and other preparations for classes are listed below. Notice of schedule changes and assignment requirements, as well as news of potential interest to students, will be posted and/or announced via eClass. Regular course updates will normally be posted weekly.

Class: Date / Topic	Learning Activity	Reading	Preparation
<b>PART I – PURPOSE</b>			
Class 1: September 14 <b>Course Overview</b>	Course, teaching, and student expectations Atlas of Public Management orientation Current Issue orientation and scheduling Client Project orientation	Pal Pref. eClass	Notes and questions
Class 2: September 21 <b>Policy Concepts</b>	Briefing Note briefing, issue selection, discussion forum, and coaching	Pal 1 eClass	Notes and questions
Class 3: September 28 <b>Policy Context</b>	Briefing Note briefing and coaching	Pal 2 eClass	Notes and questions
Class 4: October 5 <b>Policy Community</b>	Briefing Note briefing and coaching	Pal 6 eClass	Notes and questions
<b>October 9-15: Fall Reading Week – no classes, University open (except October 11: Thanksgiving Day – closed)</b>			
<b>PART II – PROCESS</b>			
Class 5: October 19 <b>Client Project Workshop I</b>	Client Project briefing, selection, group formation, project management, and coaching	eClass	Notes and questions
Class 6: October 26 <b>Policy Planning</b>	Current Issue presentations and discussion Briefing Note briefing and coaching Client Project briefing, client consultation, research, and coaching	Pal 3 eClass	Notes and questions <b>Presentations #1-5 due</b>
Class 7: November 2 <b>Policy Consultation</b>	Current Issue presentations and discussion Briefing Note briefing and coaching Client Project briefing, client consultation, research, and coaching	eClass	Notes and questions <b>Presentations #6-10 due</b> <b>Briefing Note #1-5 due</b>
Class 8: November 9 <b>Policy Analysis</b>	Current Issue presentations and discussion Briefing Note briefing and coaching Client Project briefing, client consultation, research, and coaching	eClass	Notes and questions <b>Presentations #11-15 due</b> <b>Briefing Note #6-10 due</b>
Class 9: November 16 <b>Policy Design</b>	Current Issue presentations and discussion Client Project briefing, client consultation, research, and coaching Interim course check-up	Pal 4 eClass	Notes and questions <b>Presentations #16-20 due</b> <b>Briefing Note #11-15 due</b>
Class 10: November 23 <b>Policy Implementation</b>	Current Issue presentations and discussion Client Project briefing, terms of reference, and coaching	Pal 5 eClass	Notes and questions <b>Presentations #21-25 due</b> <b>Briefing Note #16-20 due</b>
Class 11: November 30 <b>Policy Risk</b>	Client Project briefing, terms of reference, and coaching	eClass	Notes and questions <b>Briefing Note #21-25 due</b>
Class 12: December 7 <b>Policy Evaluation</b>	Current Issue debriefing Client Project briefing, terms of reference, and coaching	Pal 7 eClass	Notes and questions <b>Terms of Reference due</b>
<b>December 24 – January 7: Winter break – University closed</b>			
<b>PART III – PRODUCT</b>			
Class 13: January 11 <b>Client Project Workshop II</b>	Terms of Reference debriefing Client Project briefing, presentation scheduling, assessments, and coaching	eClass	Notes and questions
Class 14: January 18 <b>Policy Advice</b>	Client Project briefing and coaching on presentation and report	eClass	Notes and questions
Class 15: January 25 <b>Policy Communication</b>	Client Project briefing and coaching on presentation and report	Pal 8 eClass	Notes and questions
Class 16: February 1 <b>Policy Capacity</b>	Client Project briefing and coaching on presentation and report	Pal 9 eClass	Notes and questions



Class: Date / Topic	Learning Activity	Reading	Preparation
<b>PART IV – PRESENTATION</b>			
Class 17: February 8 <b>Client Project Workshop III</b>	Presentation schedule, process, and practice Client Project briefing and coaching on presentation and report	eClass	Notes and questions
Class 18: February 15 <b>Client Project Presentation A</b>	Group presentation, Q&A, debriefing, appraisals, report, and coaching	eClass	<b>Presentation A due</b> <b>Client Appraisal A due</b> <b>Team Appraisal A due</b>
<b>February 19-25: Winter Reading Week – no classes, University open (except February 21: Family Day – closed)</b>			
Class 19: March 1 <b>Client Project Presentation B</b>	Group presentation, Q&A, debriefing, appraisals, report, and coaching	eClass	<b>Presentation B due</b> <b>Client Appraisal B due</b> <b>Team Appraisal B due</b>
Class 20: March 8 <b>Client Project Presentation C</b>	Group presentation, Q&A, debriefing, appraisals, report, and coaching	eClass	<b>Presentation C due</b> <b>Client Appraisal C due</b> <b>Team Appraisal C due</b>
Class 21: March 15 <b>Client Project Presentation D</b>	Group presentation, Q&A, debriefing, appraisals, report, and coaching	eClass	<b>Presentation D due</b> <b>Client Appraisal D due</b> <b>Team Appraisal D due</b>
Class 22: March 22 <b>Client Project Presentation E</b>	Group presentation, Q&A, debriefing, appraisals, report, and coaching	eClass	<b>Presentation E due</b> <b>Client Appraisal E due</b> <b>Team Appraisal E due</b>
Class 23: March 29 <b>Client Project Workshop IV</b>	Presentation debriefing Report briefing and coaching	eClass	Notes and questions
Class 24: April 5 <b>Lessons Learned</b>	Course review Course evaluation	eClass	Notes and questions <b>Report due</b>
<b>April 10: Course end date</b>			
<b>April 29: Winter term ends</b>			

## ADDENDUM

### HEALTH AND SAFETY

As part of York's [Community of Care Commitment](#), all members of the York community share the responsibility of keeping others safe on campuses. In this class, as elsewhere on campus, students must comply with all University health and safety protocols, including:

- Self-screening using the [YU Screen](#) tool prior to coming to campus for any in-person activities;
- Not attending in-person activities at any of York University's campuses/locations when you are feeling unwell or if you answer YES to any of the screening questions;
- Wearing masks or face coverings that completely cover the mouth, nose, and chin while on campus;
- Avoiding eating and drinking in classrooms, research, and shared spaces, where eating is explicitly not permitted (e.g., Libraries);
- Engaging in good hand hygiene; and
- Following instructions in designated spaces, as they pertain to giving space to one another and/or protocols for entry to and exit from classrooms, instructional, and other shared spaces (e.g., Libraries), when applicable.

Information about COVID-19 health and safety measures can be found on the [Better Together](#) website. The Senate Executive Committee's [Principles to Guide 2021-2022 Course Planning](#) encourage us to uphold compassion, kindness, empathy, and a sense of responsibility towards one another. We all have a duty to uphold professional and respectful interactions with one another.